

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/888,394	06/26/2001	. Akifumi Okigawa	193052/2000	9467
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			EXAMINER	
			COLON, GERMAN	
ARLINGTOR	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I A N d N	Applicant(s)
		Application No.	
٥		09/888,394	OKIGAWA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		German Colón	2879
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sneet	With the correspondence and the
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANDING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the mailing date of this communication.	136(a). In no event, however, may bly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) May become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
	This action is FINAL 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
2a)∐ 3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	vance except for formal n r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 1,4 and 13 is/are rejected.		
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 2,3 and 5-12 is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	hthe Everniner
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	Deyance. See 37 of 10 1.00(a). ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) {	disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	•
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		o C 5 110(a) (d) or (f)
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	5.C. 9 119(a)-(d) of (i).
а	ı)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	In Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
1	 a)	provisional application h	ias been received.
Attachm			
1) NO	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "said second area" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishio (US 6,479,934) in view of Kanae et al. (US 5,990,617).

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 1, Nishio discloses an AC type PDP comprising: a first substrate 16 having first electrodes 17, a second substrate 11 arranged in an opposed relation to said first substrate to form a discharge space 19 therebetween; discharge gas filed in said discharge space; second electrodes 12 formed on said substrate, each said second electrode having a plurality of openings 10 each having an area with a diameter of 10 – 20 μm (see Col. 5, line 40); and a dielectric layer 13 covering said second electrodes. Nishio fails to disclose a dielectric layer covering the first electrodes.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Kanae discloses a PDP with first electrodes covered by a dielectric layer with the purpose of insulating the electrodes from a discharge space and/or as a surface of a cutting-preventing film for protecting the electrodes and the insulative substrate from being sandblasted (see Col. 3, lines 10-13). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the first electrodes of the PDP of Nishio with a dielectric layer in order to insulate the electrodes from a discharge space and/or as a surface of a cutting-preventing film for protecting the electrodes and the insulative substrate from being sandblasted.

Regarding claim 4, Nishio-Kanae discloses a PDP wherein a length of each opening is in a range from 0.2 times to 1.8 times the thickness of said dielectric layer (see Kanae, Col. 3, lines 52-53).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 3 and 5-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

Art Unit: 2879

claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The Examiner notes that the Prior Art of Record discloses a an AC type PDP comprising: a first substrate having first electrodes, a second substrate arranged in an opposed relation to said first substrate to form a discharge space therebetween; discharge gas filed in said discharge space; second electrodes formed on said substrate, each said second electrode having a plurality of openings each having an area with a diameter of $10 - 20 \mu m$; and a dielectric layer covering said second electrodes.

Regarding claim 2, the references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 2, and specifically comprising the limitation of "the openings having a width in a range of $5 - 30 \mu m$ and having a strip-shaped configuration".

Referring to claim 3, the references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 3, and specifically comprising the limitation of "each said opening having a configuration including a combination of a plurality of openings having different configurations".

Referring to claim 5, claim 5 is allowed for the reasons given in claim 2, because of its dependency status from claim 2.

Referring to claim 6, claim 6 is allowed for the reasons given in claim 3, because of its dependency status from claim 3.

Art Unit: 2879

. Regarding claims 7 and 8, the references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claims 7 and 8, and specifically comprising the limitation of "each said parallel electrode is constructed by a first area along a discharge and a second area where the openings are formed".

Regarding claim 9, the references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 9, and specifically comprising the limitation of "a plurality of strip-shaped openings where the smaller the ratio of a total area of said openings to an area of said strip-shaped areas is the closer to the discharge gap".

Referring to claims 10-11, claims 10-11 are allowed for the reasons given in claim 7, because of its dependency status from claim 7.

Regarding claim 12, the references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 12, and specifically comprising the limitation of "each parallel electrode pair is constructed by a first area and a second area where said openings are arranged in the first area in a row direction and in the second area in a line direction".

Prior Art of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Marcotte, in U.S. Patent No. 6,118,214, discloses an AC PDP with electrodes having apertures.

Amemiya, in U.S. Patent No. 5,640,068, discloses a PDP with display electrodes having an aperture (Fig. 10A-10B).

Kawanami et al., in U.S. Patent No. 6,333,599, discloses a PDP where display electrodes are constructed having two parts, one of them having apertures (Fig. 11).

Park et al., in U.S. Patent No. 6,384,531, discloses a PDP with electrodes having apertures (Fig. 6 and 7).

Fleming et al., in U.S. Patent No. 6,111,357, discloses an EL device, where electrodes have apertures of different configurations (Figs. 6A-6C).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to German Colón whose telephone number is 703-305-5987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 703-305-4794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7382 for regular communications and 703-308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

November 29, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800